2025 Annual Implementation Plan

for improving student outcomes

Spensley Street Primary School (3146)



Submitted for review by Richard Olsen (School Principal) on 20 December, 2024 at 10:33 AM Endorsed by Jane Greig-Hancock (Senior Education Improvement Leader) on 19 February, 2025 at 02:22 PM

Self-evaluation summary

	FISO 2.0 outcomes	Self-evaluation level
Learning	Learning is the ongoing acquisition by students of knowledge, skills and capabilities, including those defined by the Victorian Curriculum and senior secondary pathways.	Embedding

	Wellbeing is the development of the capabilities necessary to thrive, contribute and respond positively to challenges and opportunities of life.	Embedding
--	--	-----------

		FISO 2.0 Dimensions	Self-evaluation level
Leade	ership	The strategic direction and deployment of resources to create and reflect shared goals and values; high expectations; and a positive, safe and orderly learning environment	Embedding
		Shared development of a culture of respect and collaboration with positive and supportive relationships between students and staff at the core	

and learning	Documented teaching and learning program based on the Victorian Curriculum and senior secondary pathways, incorporating extra-curricula programs	Evolving
--------------	--	----------

Use of common and subject-specific high impact teaching and learning strategies as part of a shared and responsive teaching and learning model implemented through positive and supportive student-staff relationships	
---	--

As	ssessment	Systematic use of data and evidence to drive the prioritisation, development, and implementation of actions in schools and classrooms.	Embedding
		Systematic use of assessment strategies and measurement practices to obtain and provide feedback on student learning growth, attainment and wellbeing capabilities	

00	Strong relationships and active partnerships between schools and families/carers, communities, and organisations to strengthen students' participation and engagement in school	Embedding
----	---	-----------

Support a resources	Responsive, tiered and contextualised approaches and strong relationships to support student learning, wellbeing and inclusion	Embedding
	Effective use of resources and active partnerships with families/carers, specialist providers and community organisations to provide responsive support to students	

Future planning	The strengthening of our PLC cycle of inquiry has seen great development and a strong sense of collective efficacy across the school. It has developed teacher confidence in using data to inform teacher practice. Additionally consistent and regular whole school professional learning such as; differentiation in numeracy, Maths Curriculum 2.0 and the 6+1 traits of writing has also seen an increase in staff confidence. This has translated to an increase in teacher judgements (6 months growth) and we look forward to analysing the teacher judgement 12 month growth from semester 2 2023 to 2024 to see what impact these activities have had. Targets around Garunteed and viable curriculum as well as AtoS data centred on student agency has decreased. The next body of work and foci for 2025 are: *Document and implement an agreed pedagogical approach to learning and teaching, consistent with the school's philosophy. *Develop and implement a consistent approach and practice to inquiry learning, goal setting and feedback. *Develop and implement a common language, understanding and practice of student agency in learning.
Documents that support this plan	

Select annual goals and KIS

Four-year strategic goals	Is this selected for focus this year?	Four-year strategic targets	12-month target The 12-month target is an incremental step towards meeting the 4-year target, using the same data set.
To maximise learning growth for all students in literacy and numeracy.	Yes	 Teacher Judgement: By 2025 the percentage of students achieving at or above 2 years expected growth as measured by teacher judgement in: Reading will increase from 65% (combined average 2017-2019 and 2018-2020) to 75% (combined average 2021-2023, 2022-2024). Writing will increase from 58% (combined average 2017-2019 and 2018-2020) to 75% (combined average 2021-2023, 2022-2024). Numeracy will increase from 65% (combined average 2017-2019 and 2018-2020) to 75% (combined average 2021-2023, 2022-2024). 	To improve teacher judgement in reading, writing, and numeracy to or above 75% combined average for 24 months growth in 24 months.
		 NAPLAN By 2025, the percentage of students meeting and above benchmark growth in NAPLAN: Reading to increase from 70% (2021) to 80%. Writing to increase from 83 % (2021) to 88%. Numeracy to increase from 19% (2021) to 29%. 	To improve NAPLAN growth for reading from 38% to 40%To improve NAPLAN growth for numeracy from 40% to 42%.By 2026, reduce the number of NAS students in each of reading and numeracy in Year 3 and 5 compared to the number of NAS students in 2024

		Staff Opinion Survey: By 2025, increase the percentage positive endorsement for the Guaranteed and Viable Curriculum factor from 74% (average 2017-2020) to 84% (average 2022-2025).	To improve the Guaranteed and Viable Curriculum factor in the Staff Opinion Survey from 72% to 80%.
		Parent Opinion Survey: By 2025 to increase the Student Cognitive Engagement factor from 85% (average 2017-2020) to 90% (average 2022- 2025).	To improve the Student motivation and support factor in the parent opinion survey from 71% to 80%.
		Student Attitudes to School Survey: By 2025 to increase the percentage positive endorsement for the Differentiated Learning Challenge from 77% (average 2017-2020) to 85% (average 2022-2025).	To improve Differentiated Learning Challenge factor in the Student Attitudes to School survey from 83% to 87%.
To improve student wellbeing and engagement through increased student agency.	Yes	 Student Attitudes to School targets. By 2025 to increase the percentage positive endorsement for the: Self-regulation and Goal Setting factor from 79% (average 2017-2020) to 85% (average (2022-2025). Student Voice and Agency factor from 62% (2017-2020) to 72% (2022-2025) Sense of Connectedness factor from 79% (2017-2020) to 84% (2022-2025) Managing Bullying factor from 80% (2017-2020) to 85% (2022-2025) Psychological Resilience factor from 74% (2020) to 80% (average 2022-2025) Peer Relations factor from 83% (2021) to 90% (average 2022-2025) 	To improve the student voice and agency factor in the Student Attitudes to School survey from 70% to exceed 75%.To improve the sense of connectedness factor in the Student Attitudes to School survey from 76% to 80%.To improve the managing bullying factor in the Student Attitudes to School survey from 80% to 85%.

By 2025, increase the percentage positive endorsement for the Promote Student Ownership of Learning Goals factor on the Staff Opinion Survey from 83% (2017-2020) to 88% (average 2022-2025).	To improve the percentage positive endorsement for the Promote Student Ownership of Learning Goals factor on the Staff Opinion Survey from 78% to 88%.
By 2025, increase the percentage positive endorsement for the Student Agency and Voice factor on the Parent Opinion Survey from 77% (average 2017- 2020) to 80% (average 2022-2025).	To improve Student Agency and Voice factor in the Parent Opinion Survey from 78% to 85%.

Goal 1	To maximise learning growth for all students in literacy and numeracy.		
12-month target 1.1	To improve teacher judgement in reading, writing, and numeracy to or above 75% combined average for 24 months growth in 24 months.		
12-month target 1.2	To improve NAPLAN growth for reading from 38% to 40%To improve NAPLAN growth for numeracy from 40% to 42%. By 2026, reduce the number of NAS students in each of reading and numeracy in Year 3 and 5 compared to the number of NAS students in 2024		
12-month target 1.3	To improve the Guaranteed and Viable Curriculum factor in the Staff Opinion Survey from 72% to 80%.		
12-month target 1.4	To improve the Student motivation and support factor in the parent opinion survey from 71% to 80%.		
12-month target 1.5	To improve Differentiated Learning Challenge factor in the Student Attitudes to School survey from 83% to 87%.		
Key Improvement Strategies Is this KIS selected for for year?			

KIS 1.a Excellence in teaching and learning	Document and implement an agreed pedagogical approach to learning and Yes teaching, consistent with the school's philosophy.			
KIS 1.b Excellence in teaching and learning	Embed rigorous collaborative practices and data literacy to inform teaching and learning.			
KIS 1.c Excellence in teaching and learning	Build staff capability to differentiate learning to ensure all students are challenged.	No		
Explain why the school has selected this KIS as a focus for this year. Please make reference to the self-evaluation, relevant school data, the progress against School Strategic Plan (SSP) goals, targets, and the diagnosis of issues requiring particular attention.	In 2024, the major foci were: 1) embedding the 6+1 traits of writing, and 2) preparing work is required to build upon these explicit concepts and identify the additional conrequired to meet our curriculum and pedagogical needs. The 2024 Staff Opinion Su collaboration (65%), academic emphasis (71%), and guaranteed and viable curricul lowest areas of the School Climate module. Shielding and buffering in the other low the school's pedagogical model is also seen as a major contributing factor to the dis NAPLAN results and our teacher judgement results. The goal "document and implement an agreed pedagogical approach to learning an school's philosophy" is the strategic goal we have made the least progress during the strategic plan. Additional, the leadership team, and the wider staff have indicated th pedagogical approach, and the lack of consensus regarding the school's philosophy school's longer-term success. As we move into the final year of our strategic plan at term four, it is crucial that we undertake this work as a matter of urgency.	ceptual tools which are rvey factors of teacher um (72%) are three of the four factor. Lack of confidence in screpancy between our ad teaching, consistent with the ne first three years of this at the lack of an agreed v is a major inhibitor for the		
Goal 2	To improve student wellbeing and engagement through increased student agency.			
12-month target 2.1	To improve the student voice and agency factor in the Student Attitudes to School survey from 70% to exceed 75%.			
	To improve the sense of connectedness factor in the Student Attitudes to School su	rvey from 76% to 80%.		
	To improve the managing bullying factor in the Student Attitudes to School survey fi	rom 80% to 85%.		

12-month target 2.2	To improve the percentage positive endorsement for the Promote Student Ownership of Learning Goals factor on the Staff Opinion Survey from 78% to 88%.		
12-month target 2.3	To improve Student Agency and Voice factor in the Parent Opinion Survey from 78% to 85%.		
Key Improvement Strategies		Is this KIS selected for focus this year?	
KIS 2.a Positive climate for learning	Develop and implement a consistent approach and practice to inquiry learning, goal setting and feedback.	Yes	
KIS 2.b Excellence in teaching and learning	Develop and implement a common language, understanding and practice of Student agency in learning.		
Explain why the school has selected this KIS as a focus for this year. Please make reference to the self-evaluation, relevant school data, the progress against School Strategic Plan (SSP) goals, targets, and the diagnosis of issues requiring particular attention.	model and the principles of learning which underpin it. This includes student agency and how the wider developmental goals we have for children are developed, assessed, celebrated, and communicated. School s, and the Work has begun in term four of 2024 in strengthening the staff's capacity to engage in challenging pedagogical		

Define actions, outcomes, success indicators and activities

Goal 1	To maximise learning growth for all students in literacy and numeracy.
12-month target 1.1	To improve teacher judgement in reading, writing, and numeracy to or above 75% combined average for 24 months growth in 24 months.
12-month target 1.2	To improve NAPLAN growth for reading from 38% to 40%To improve NAPLAN growth for numeracy from 40% to 42%.
	By 2026, reduce the number of NAS students in each of reading and numeracy in Year 3 and 5 compared to the number of NAS students in 2024
12-month target 1.3	To improve the Guaranteed and Viable Curriculum factor in the Staff Opinion Survey from 72% to 80%.
12-month target 1.4	To improve the Student motivation and support factor in the parent opinion survey from 71% to 80%.
12-month target 1.5	To improve Differentiated Learning Challenge factor in the Student Attitudes to School survey from 83% to 87%.
KIS 1.a Building practice excellence	Document and implement an agreed pedagogical approach to learning and teaching, consistent with the school's philosophy.
Actions	 Refocus and document the multi-age, inquiry learning and teaching model. Embed a culture of learning amongst staff through protocols and collaborative active research. Refresh the physical learning areas to enable the pedagogical model to be implemented. Communicate a clear vision for teaching and learning to the school community.
Outcomes	The leadership team will plan, communicate, and lead the action research process. Teachers engaged in thoughtful action research. Documented pedagogical model. Documented intentionally designed learning spaces. Explicit concepts and content included in the SSPS big ideas and through lines framework document. Thriving school culture and identity. Partnerships established with experts and critical friends to deepen the action research.

Teachers able to clearly artic Students able to clearly artic Parent able to clearly articul	Student's engaged in deep inquiry learning projects. Teachers able to clearly articulate, plan, and assess the explicit learning of the inquiry projects. Students able to clearly articulate their explicit learning of the inquiry projects. Parent able to clearly articulate their explicit learning and progress of their children. Curriculum framework aligns with the pedagogical model and the SSPS big ideas and through lines.			
Activities	People responsible	Is this a PL priority	When	Activity cost and funding streams
Develop and implement a professional learning plan for all staff to explore and refine the school's vision and identity.	 ☑ All staff ☑ School improvement team 	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 3	\$25,000.00 ☑ Other funding will be used
Engage an education interior designer and architect to ensure the physical learning areas which are inclusive and align with the school's pedagogical model.	 ☑ School improvement team ☑ Teacher(s) 	✓ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	 \$20,000.00 ☑ Equity funding will be used ☑ Disability Inclusion Tier 2 Funding will be used ☑ Other funding will be used
Review and improve triage processes to increase timeliness and effectiveness of responses to wellbeing concerns and incidents.	☑ Wellbeing team	PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$5,000.00 ☑ Disability Inclusion Tier 2 Funding will be used

Disability inclusion and wellbeing leader to model and coach teaching staff in inclusive practices, building positive relationships, cultural responsiveness, and student behaviour.		Disability inclusion coordinator	PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$10,000.00
Teacher-led action research projects which explore inquiry in a multi-age and open plan learning environment, including learning support and extension.		 ✓ Leadership team ✓ Learning specialist(s) ✓ Teacher(s) 	✓ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 3	\$5,000.00
Goal 2	To improve student wellbeing	and engagement through increa	ased student a	gency.	
12-month target 2.1	To improve the sense of conn	To improve the student voice and agency factor in the Student Attitudes to School survey from 70% to exceed 75%. To improve the sense of connectedness factor in the Student Attitudes to School survey from 76% to 80%. To improve the managing bullying factor in the Student Attitudes to School survey from 80% to 85%.			76% to 80%.
12-month target 2.2	To improve the percentage positive endorsement for the Promote Student Ownership of Learning Goals factor or Staff Opinion Survey from 78% to 88%.			ing Goals factor on the	
12-month target 2.3	To improve Student Agency and Voice factor in the Parent Opinion Survey from 78% to 85%.				
KIS 2.a Empowering students and building school pride	Develop and implement a con	Develop and implement a consistent approach and practice to inquiry learning, goal setting and feedback.			
Actions	 Develop a plan and processes for coaching across the school. Develop and document a consistent approach to inquiry learning. 				
Outcomes	Rich inquiry learning projects which can be used as exemplars of practice. Planning documents show the development of the inquiry projects over time. A deeper understanding of multi-age learning at Spensley Street. A deeper understanding of how contemporary open-plan learning spaces are used.				

Success Indicators	Multi-age learning is intention The use of the open-plan lear		uments.		
Activities		People responsible	Is this a PL priority	When	Activity cost and funding streams
Provide release time learning specialist to coach teaching staff to develop learning environments which are inclusive and responsive.		 ✓ Learning specialist(s) ✓ Teacher(s) 	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$30,000.00 ☑ Other funding will be used
Identify and document the exp frameworks, models and strate and numeracy as a core comp	egies) that underpin literacy	 ✓ Learning specialist(s) ✓ Principal 	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 3	\$10,000.00 ☑ Other funding will be used
KIS 2.b Building practice excellence	Develop and implement a common language, understanding and practice of student agency in learning.				irning.
Actions	1) Audit the SSPS successful learners model against the New Metrics complex competencies.				
Outcomes	Explicit teaching, assessment, and reporting of complex competencies. New Year 4's will transition successfully. Year 6 will be prepared for secondary school.				
Success Indicators	Teachers, students, and parents will trust New Metrics assessment is accurate reflection of their child as a learner.				hild as a learner.
Activities		People responsible	Is this a PL priority	When	Activity cost and funding streams
Participate in the New Metrics research project.		 ✓ School improvement team ✓ Teacher(s) 	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1	\$20,000.00

		to: Term 4	☑ Other funding will be used
--	--	---------------	------------------------------

Funding planner

Summary of budget and allocated funding

Summary of budget	School's total funding (\$)	Funding allocated in activities (\$)	Still available/shortfall
Equity Funding	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$0.00
Disability Inclusion Tier 2 Funding	\$107,812.67	\$107,812.67	\$0.00
Schools Mental Health Fund and Menu	\$30,708.33	\$30,708.33	\$0.00
Total	\$143,521.00	\$143,521.00	\$0.00

Activities and milestones – Total Budget

Activities and milestones	Budget
Engage an education interior designer and architect to ensure the physical learning areas which are inclusive and align with the school's pedagogical model.	\$20,000.00
Review and improve triage processes to increase timeliness and effectiveness of responses to wellbeing concerns and incidents.	\$5,000.00
Totals	\$25,000.00

Activities and milestones - Equity Funding

Activities and milestones	When	Funding allocated (\$)	Category
Engage an education interior designer and architect to ensure	from: Term 1	\$5,000.00	☑ Assets

the physical learning areas which are inclusive and align with the school's pedagogical model.	to: Term 4		
Totals		\$5,000.00	

Activities and milestones - Disability Inclusion Funding

Activities and milestones	When	Funding allocated (\$)	Category
Engage an education interior designer and architect to ensure the physical learning areas which are inclusive and align with the school's pedagogical model.	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$5,000.00	 Education workforces and/or assigning existing school staff to inclusive education duties
Review and improve triage processes to increase timeliness and effectiveness of responses to wellbeing concerns and incidents.	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$10,000.00	 Education workforces and/or assigning existing school staff to inclusive education duties
Totals		\$15,000.00	

Activities and milestones - Schools Mental Health Fund and Menu

Activities and milestones	When	Funding allocated (\$)	Category
Totals		\$0.00	

Additional funding planner – Total Budget

Activities and milestones	Budget
Employing staff for Mental Health Support and Disability Inclusion	\$92,812.67
Literacy Support	\$41,572.00
Learning Specialist Coaching	\$80,056.00
Totals	\$214,440.67

Additional funding planner – Equity Funding

Activities and milestones	When	Funding allocated (\$)	Category
Employing staff for Mental Health Support and Disability Inclusion	from: Term 1 to: Term 4		
Literacy Support	from: Term 1 to: Term 4		
Learning Specialist Coaching	from: Term 1 to: Term 4		
Totals		\$0.00	

Activities and milestones	When	Funding allocated (\$)	Category
Employing staff for Mental Health Support and Disability Inclusion	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$92,812.67	 Education workforces and/or assigning existing school staff to inclusive education duties Education support staff Disability inclusion coordinator
Literacy Support	from: Term 1 to: Term 4		
Learning Specialist Coaching	from: Term 1 to: Term 4		
Totals		\$92,812.67	

Additional funding planner – Disability Inclusion Funding

Additional funding planner – Schools Mental Health Fund and Menu

Activities and milestones	When	Funding allocated (\$)	Category
Employing staff for Mental Health Support and Disability Inclusion	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$30,708.33	☑ Employ staff to support Tier 1 activities
Literacy Support	from: Term 1		

	to: Term 4		
Learning Specialist Coaching	from: Term 1 to: Term 4		
Totals		\$30,708.33	

Professional learning plan

Professional learning priority	Who	When	Key professional learning strategies	Organisational structure	Expertise accessed	Where
Develop and implement a professional learning plan for all staff to explore and refine the school's vision	 ☑ All staff ☑ School improvement team 	from: Term 1 to: Term 3	 ✓ Planning ✓ Collaborative inquiry/action research team 	☑ Whole school pupil free day	 ✓ School improvement partnerships ✓ Learning specialist 	☑ On-site
and identity.			☑ Student voice, including input and feedback		☑ Pedagogical Model	
Engage an education interior designer and architect to ensure the physical learning areas which are inclusive and align with the school's pedagogical model.	 ✓ School improvement team ✓ ✓ Teacher(s) 	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	Collaborative inquiry/action research team	Formal school meeting / internal professional learning sessions	External consultants Interior designer with education learning experience	Ø On-site
Teacher-led action research projects which explore inquiry in a multi- age and open plan learning environment, including learning support and extension.	 ✓ Leadership team ✓ Learning specialist(s) ✓ ✓ Teacher(s) 	from: Term 1 to: Term 3	Collaborative inquiry/action research team	Communities of practice	School improvement partnerships	Ø On-site
Provide release time learning specialist to coach	✓ Learning specialist(s)	from: Term 1	☑ Planning	✓ Formal school meeting / internal	☑ Learning specialist	☑ On-site

teaching staff to develop learning environments which are inclusive and responsive.	☑ Teacher(s)	to: Term 4	 Peer observation including feedback and reflection Demonstration lessons 	professional learning sessions ☑ Communities of practice		
Identify and document the explicit teaching (conceptual frameworks, models and strategies) that underpin literacy and numeracy as a core component.	 ✓ Learning specialist(s) ✓ Principal 	from: Term 1 to: Term 3	 Preparation Curriculum development 	✓ Formal school meeting / internal professional learning sessions	✓ Learning specialist	☑ On-site
Participate in the New Metrics research project.	 ✓ School improvement team ✓ ✓ Teacher(s) 	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	 Planning Moderated assessment of student learning Collaborative inquiry/action research team 	Formal school meeting / internal professional learning sessions	☑ Leadership partners	Ø Off-site University of Melbourne